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MEETING MINUTES FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE

LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION HELD AT
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REPORTED BY: ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, CCR

MEETING MINUTES

Appearances of Board Members Present:
A.J. Roy Alden Andre
Cal Simpson
Susan Tham
Mandi Mitchell
Staff members present:
Melissa Sorrell
Brenda Guess
Steven Baham
Frank Favaloro
Anne Villa
Bob Cangelossi Shamelda Pete

MR. ROY:
Good morning. Call to order the Board of Directors Louisiana Economic Development Corporation.

Rollcall, please.
MS . SORRELL:
A.J. Roy.

MR. ROY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Alden Andre.
MR. ANDRE:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Louis Reine.
(No response.)
MS. SORRELL:
Susan Tham.
MS. THAM:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Mandi Mitchell.
MS. MITCHELL:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Cal Simpson.

MR. SIMPSON:
Here.
MS. SORRELL:
Natin Kamath.
(No response.)
MS. SORRELL:
Will Campbell.
(No response.)
MS . SORRELL:
We have five members present. We have a quorum.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Very good. I'll ask everybody to please silence their cell phones.

The first order of business is the minutes from the November 14th, '16 meeting. What is the pleasure of the Board?

MR. SIMPSON :
Move to accept.
MR. ANDRE:
Second.
MR. ROY:
Motion to accept them as presented.
Second.
Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Hearing none, all in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection.
Next order of business is staff will update us on some in-house approvals.

MR. BAHAM:
I'll update everyone on those. The first one that was approved back at the end of November on the 21st was Bobi Jeans of Church Point.

Bobi Jeans is an interesting little business, been around since around 2000, year 2000. It's kind of a quick stop and boutique and gas station all in one. They are looking to expand a little bit and renovate the existing facilities. This came to us from Farmers State Bank \& Trust Company out of Church Point, and it was a total of a $\$ 150,000$ bank loan. There was an additional $\$ 235,000$ loan in this to make it a total of a $\$ 385,000$ project, but that was -- the $\$ 235,000$ was a refinance, and we stayed out of that. So we just end
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up with the $\$ 150,000$ at 75 percent guaranty for \$112,500. It's a five-year term.

Great little company. There's not a whole lot in the area going on over there, so we felt that this was good impact on a nice-growing, stable, small business.

This second loan is -- bear with me one second. B\&S Investments. They are actually -- B\&S Investment is the parent company and was formed to start a new franchise or an addition to a franchise. They will by doing business as Hebert's Specialty Meats. Came to us from Home Bank, which has been very active in our Guaranty Program over the last two to three years, and they are going to create nine new jobs. As I said, it's a startup business, and they're in the specialty meat and Cajun products industry. It's a $\$ 160,000$ bank loan, which we did a 75 percent guaranty for $\$ 120,000$ for a five-year term, collateralized through mainly equipment. They will be doing additional loans for the property and for the building on this, which we'll not be a part of. The bank is going to cover that themselves. They just needed us on the equipment side of it.

To give you a little bit of information, as I said, it is a restaurant. We don't typically do
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restaurants, but because it is a franchise, we're allowed by rule to do it. Hebert's Specialty Meats has been featured in national publications like the National Geographic for their famous Turduckens. Everyone may be familiar with it. It's a duck inside of a hen, which is inside a turkey. Very good. I do recommend it.

Another outstanding opportunity for us to get into, something that we don't typically see all of the time.

Any questions?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Questions, comments?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Questions, comments from the public?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
All right. Very good. Thank you for that update.

Next order of business under the SSBCI Program is Shamelda Pete, Program, Iberia Bank.

MS. PETE:
Good morning. My name is Shamelda Pete. Today I'm presenting General Informatics, and I have with me Mr. Mike Doerr of Iberia Bank.

Iberia Bank is requesting a loan guaranty for General Informatics, LLC. General Informatics is a provider of managed IT services, software development, installation and support services. General Informatics is creating innovative products and public safety technology using virtual reality and artificial intelligence.

The company began it's operations more than 15 years ago at the Louisiana Business \& Technology Center and became one of the most successful tenants of the business incubator. The company is currently moving into a new facility, which will be located at the corner of Bluebonnet and Highland Road. I've listed a handout as receipts, which highlights the project, which was announced by our Governor in October.

The loan that they're requesting is a guaranty is for $\$ 1.5-m i l l i o n$. If approved by LEDC, we will provide a seven-year, 75 percent guaranty. The loan proceeds will be used to fund equipment, and the equipment will be used as a collateral. This loan will result in the creation of 66 new jobs with an average salary of $\$ 50,000$ per year plus benefits. The company continues to grow and show promise. And we have our standard contingencies in the normal files with an additional contingency that there will be an executed
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lease to be provided at the end of the closing.
I'm going to allow Mr. Mike Doerr to give you a little more information on the bank's perspective on the loan.

MR. ROY:
Thank you, Shamelda. I'm sorry I misread the information at the beginning, but welcome, sir.

MR. DOERR:
I assume that everybody is kind of familiar with the project that's going on that's still going on. It's going to be a three-story office building. Mo's company, General Informatics, will be the main tenant in the building. We're actually handling the construction loan. The LED guaranty is just going to give us more flexibility, allow us to finance more on the equipment, and so for that reason, we're requesting the guaranty.

MR. ROY:
Very good. Any questions or comments?
Mr. Andre.
MR. ANDRE:
Was the applicant invited to join this morning?

MS. PETE:

Yes, sir, he was. He did inform me --
yes. Mr. Vij is actually in route. I'm assuming he's running late. He did have another meeting that was scheduled a few months prior to this Board meeting, but he was invited to attend. Yes, sir.

MR. ROY:
Do you expect him at any time momentarily?

MS. PETE:
I do expect him to come, but I just assume he's running a few minutes late.

MR. ROY:
Well, if you'd like, we can just recess the matter for the moment and allow him time to present if you think he's on his way.

MS. PETE:
That's fine.
MR. ROY:
Okay. Well, let's do that if you definitely think he's coming, and then you could just stop your presentation now and we'll take it up later. I think the Board will prefer that than to proceed without him.

MR. ANDRE :
Yes. We always encourage the applicant
to be here.
MS. PETE:
Yes, sir.
MR. ROY:
Absolutely. So thank you. We'll continue later.

All right. The next order of business is the proposed rule change. We've had -- I had some brief conversations with staff about it, and the idea here is that, as you may have heard me comment through the years, the past-due numbers, the charge-off numbers that LEDC has realized over the years, actually, as a member of the banking industry, $I$ actually envy in some ways, which it is to say that we are relatively conservative. So with that said, I'll allow staff to say more about the proposed rule change.

MR. BAHAM:
Thank you. Our Guaranty Program has been around since the inception of -- it was the first program created by the LEDC back in the ' 80 s , late ' 80 s , to be exact, and these rules have not changed in any form or fashion since that time. As you-all may remember or be aware of, actually, that back during that time in the '80s, the country was coming out of a very tough time, a very big recession, and given that the way
that the program is structured now and was structured then with a one-to-one collateral requirement, it made perfect sense at that point in time.

Over the years, things have changed and we're starting to see a lot of movement by the SBA in particular. It's not really a competition thing. We don't look at it that way, but the SBA over the years has made, especially in the past two to three years, has made tremendous strides to enter into the smaller business loan lending arena, which they have not been able to really tackle. In particular, they are trying to make it easier access through online and streamlined applications for local and regional banks who are our customers for all intents and purposes. We specifically do not even deal with national banks because they see us as just one piece of a larger pie that they are going after.

> With that said, y'all know we can't print our own money, so we can't just inject more money into this program as time goes on whenever we feel like, but with the SBA revamping their processes and procedures to attract smaller loans, usually about 350, 300,000 or less, which has typically been our average loan size over the years, it's made it very difficult and making it even more difficult to compete with them
and get those projects. Over the recent years, we have missed out on several, several opportunities due to lack of collateral on the borrower side to meet that one-to-one requirement.

As Mr. Roy alluded to in the opening, the default rate since 2011, when we got the SSBCI dollars, the federal money, has been zero for this program, and that's something that any bank or lending institution would love to put on their books and gloat about, but for a program that's designed to take on additional risks such as ours, it's not really a father in our cap, to be quite honest. Our historical default rate since the late ' 80 s has been less than seven percent, which is still very good, but those numbers don't represent a true picture of taking on additional risks for a program such as this.

Additionally, since the 1980 s, with a one-to-one collateral requirement, our economy here in Louisiana has changed quite a bit, especially in the entrepreneurial sectors, such as construction, intellectual properties, software development, video game development, things of those natures, industries that weren't even in existence in some instances back when the program was created $20,25,30$ years ago. Those companies typically do not have a lot of assets to
speak of to use to go to a bank and get a loan that needs to be 100 percent collateralized in order to qualify.

What we're asking for is a reduction in the collateral requirements on the loan program. We have proposed a reduction from the one-to-one collateral requirement down to a no less than 50 percent collateral, best available collateral for the business and personal.

We've also had a few other requests that we'd like to see changes made, and one of them or two of them are basically housekeeping things. In the past, we have -- it's been our policy to never accept as collateral an individual or borrower's primary residence. We would just like to reduce that to writing in the rules to make that official.

We have also seen in the past, especially over the last three years, we've missed out on several deals from interesting companies and successful companies that are looking to locate or move some operations here to Louisiana. The drawback is we haven't been able to get involved in those deals from the guaranty standpoint because the business owners may live outside the state. Their residence, their primary residence, may be in another state, Texas, California,
whatever the case may be. Our rules currently require that not only the business be located here in Louisiana, but the principal stockowner's or majority owners of that business be located here and have a primary residence here in Louisiana as well.

Today's environment, it kind of -- it's a hamper to require someone who wants to expand their operations and hire Louisiana employees and bring sales into the State of Louisiana that it kind of hampers us to require that owner to pick up their family and everything they have to move here as well when they're just expanding. We're asking that we eliminate the residency requirement to be a Louisiana resident so that we can entertain some more opportunities to be able to bring businesses into the State.

We feel that eliminating the residency requirement will open those doors. As long as we have the business here and they're spending and making sales here, spending money here and hiring employees here, it's still a win because that's the ultimate intent of the program is to help businesses grow and to expand the economy here as well.

So with that, as I said, staff is making those recommendations, the main one being that we would like to reduce the collateral requirement of the loan
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value of 100 percent or a one-to-one ratio down to no less than 50 percent, as well as make available for all available business assets associated with the loan to be included in that collateral requirement and any reasonable assets that the bank may require, minus the primary residence and as well as eliminate the residency requirement for business owners in order for them to be eligible to participate in the program.

And I think Brenda would like to add some more.

MS. GUESS:
Yes. Steve had summed it up quite well in his presentation, and $I$ think it represents what we've been talking about for several months now.

One of the other items I'd like to point out is that how we will be able to assist our contractors. We're currently -- our small -- SEB, the small emerging business area within LED, you know, that's always been a concern for contractors where they've not been able to have sufficient collateral with getting assistance with surety companies, and we will also -- this will also allow us to work with those and to help beef up the contractor side of the industry within our agency.

We've also had as part of not only our
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SSBCI application that we made to the feds where we charged and had part of our application as to reach out to smaller companies and in very rural areas. We are looking to develop relationships with numerous entities, and in the audience today, we have such representation from the City of Minden, the Economic Development Director, James Graham, is here, and I won't call on him unless necessary, but we are looking to develop relationships with organizations such as James' and others around the state to help with those rural -those small companies in the rural areas that will also help us to get in touch with the banking community within those areas so that we can reach out.

We've seen that our marketing efforts have increased. With the departure of Christian, we're now seeing a lot of his work come to fruition that he's done before. And one of the things that happened while he was with us, along with Shamelda, they've gone out into the community in the rural areas and statewide and talked to the bankers and were listening to what the bankers were asking us to consider in the way we do business. And I think that because we have -- we're just starting to see a lot of the fruits of their labor come to fruition regarding the number of deals that are coming to us.

Mr. Andre asked a question earlier prior to the meeting about pipelines. Well, the pipeline is very, very small right now, but if you look at the Secretary Treasure's report, which we'll look at later, there's seven loans that were done from since September. And we traditionally see a slowing down in the last quarter of the year as far as activity, but we're seeing that we're -- you don't see the loans that we are approving that was just evident of the report that Steve gave on the ones that we've done inhouse, and if you look at the ones that were done since September, they're all under that 300,000 mark. So the pipeline for the larger deals or for deals period, we hope to increase. The ones that are above what we're able to do internally with our inhouse approval, we'll continue to bring those here, but we'd like to be awfully busy during when you don't see us and having those internal meetings and looking at some of those smaller deals.

MR. ROY:
This week, staff and myself attempted to have conversations about this, and it didn't work, and my mind has been racing as to exactly how we should handle all of this. You mentioned something I think is very important, that is we have had this basic framework since the '80s, and we are conservative. There's no
doubt about that. But, we, seems to me, we're bound by the constraints of financing, which is how much can we put out there in the marketplace, and that's a function of our budget.

It seems to me we do need to loosen the reigns. My concern is trying to do that right here, right now without some -- maybe an entire meeting devoted to discussing just what we're bringing up because what we're bringing up is changing the entire framework of the Guaranty Program going forward, and we may not touch it again for years. It may be long after you're gone that we touch the program again. And so -and $I$ heard what you said earlier when we were talking before the meeting about the need to do this, and obviously it takes time, as Mr. Cangelosi can tell us better, when you propose these rules and adopt these rules. It takes like four months maybe to get something on the books. But in light of the fact that we have had it for years and the changes that we're going to implement really -- or proposed changes are pretty big. We're talking about maybe going from 100 percent collateral to a loan value requirement to half of that.

I would personally be more comfortable if we had a meeting -- we can call it a committee. I think we seen to have smaller quorums, so rather than
have -- I know we have committees, but rather than have assign to the appropriate committee, let the entire Board participate, but have a meeting called just for that. And in advance of that, also do the homework that I need to do on behalf of the industry, which I could not do this week, but $I$ would like to see something like that rather than just make a quick decision today that might -- we may not touch it for years since we hadn't touched the last rule for years, and just make sure that we're all on the is same page.

You know, one of the concerns that I have that $I$ was voicing before the meeting is the 50 percent -- the 100 percent loan of collateral value requirement that we currently have. It's one thing if that collateral is real estate. That's -- you can sink your teeth in there. FF\&E is something totally different. And, you know, just have one general rule, let's say, you drop it down to 50 percent, but you have real estate and FF\&E all thrown in there and some loans could total just 100 percent FF\&E and another loan might be 100 percent real estate. Well, that's not apples and oranges to me, and so hence the need to maybe chop it up a little bit more.

But that's just my thought. I've talked too much. Let me let others interject.

MR. CANGELOSI:
If I may interject a suggestion, let me go ahead and do the rule changes this week -- this month, and I'll have them available at our next meeting so we can see what specific rules are being changed and we can discuss them all in that meeting in February.

MR. ROY:
I thought we had to propose exactly what we vote on.

MR. CANGELOSI:
This will be the Notice of Intent. This won't be the adoption of the rules completely. This will just be the first publication, but I'm not going to make the publication until after we have --

MR. ROY:
So it's the Notice of Intent to change the rule. Am I understanding that correctly?

MR. CANGELOSI:
That's the first process, yes.
MR. ROY:
Okay. Well, that seems to be harmless. MR. CANGELOSI:

So what it will do is give us in writing the specific rules that we're trying to change so we can see what they are and discuss it.

MR. ROY:
Any thoughts from the Board on this
matter?
MR. SIMPSON:
I'm not in the lending industry, but the questions like $I$ would have how much of the delinquency rates is acceptable in the industry, and I don't want to -- we're, you know, looking after the taxpayers' dollars, not just, you know, investors and bankers and stuff, so is there a way or actuary where we can tell what would become your delinquency rate, you know, if we went to that 50 percent collateral, things of that nature?

MR. ROY:
You're hitting on an important point that you would certainly want to try to project going forward what our losses might be, and there may be some ways we can come up with some ideas.

One of the things, again, as staff was talking that just kind of dawned on me, how conservative or not we are, to me, is a function of how much we have to spend, number one, because, I mean, if we're going to exhaust our budget based on our current program, well, $I$ don't know if we need to get any more or any less conservative because we're going to exhaust it anyway,
and then we're still protecting the public and doing our jobs to the maximum extent. Albeit we're not taking any risks, but we're not -- that's all we have to spend, so it's really a function of how much you have to work with, I think. So what is our thoughts on that for the moment, on how much we might be able to put out there under the Guaranty Program in the next year?

MS. VILLA:
Brenda is probably closer as far as activity projections, but -- and you'll see in the Secretary Treasurer's report we have about 1.7-million left of the federal State Small Business Credit Initiative that we can use as our seed money, so to speak, for the program. And, in addition, we have recycled dollars that will be coming back in, which we do have a forecast to that as to what whether those dollars will come back in for us to use as well for our deposit, $C D$ deposit we have to put down to secure the loans that we make.

So I'd have to go back and look at when those projections for those additional dollars are forecasted to come in would arrive into the department along with the 1.7 projections of how much really capacity we would have based on those numbers. But I do know that we have 1.7 -million now that we can use as
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support to seed this program.
MS . GUESS :
And one of the important things is how much can we leverage in loan size. In listening to what you said earlier about making the determination rather than just making a blanket change for all of collateral, we may need to come back with categories that would work better. You know, if it's a law enforcement transaction, then the collateral would be such and such, or you can say FF\&E, then we'd look at breaking down and say that would be a range between a certain amount. And we certainly can do that at another meeting dedicated to just having that discussion on changes.

MR. ROY:
Could you maybe, could staff maybe project out based on -- I mean, your point is an excellent one about leveraging. That's what this is all about.

MS. VILLA:
Right.
MR. ROY:
But if we could have some projections based on budget, based on what we know is coming back to us, et cetera, on what it is we -- and even some leverage projections, $I$ guess, if you will.

MS. VILLA:
Right.
MR. ROY:
So we can have an idea of how much we might have to put to work, and that could help us, I think, back into how conservative we want to be.

Yes, please.
MS. MITCHELL:
Yes. I have I guess somewhat of a question and a suggestion, and Brenda kind of hit on where I was going to go with the suggestion. So that we don't use up the entire budget for this program as a result of simply lowering the required collateral, perhaps we can develop criteria to -- weighted criteria to base it upon the company's need or inability to provide sufficient collateral, but weigh that with their business model or business plan or the -- or base it on their future stability to the best of our ability. And, you know, I thought about DBEs. We do have other programs that we provide for disadvantaged business enterprises for that reason. We provide other technical assistance and access to capital for DBEs, woman-owned businesses, minority-owned businesses. And also we have within our department special programs for rural communities because there tends to be issues with access
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to capitals in those communities. So just a suggestion to consider some creative criteria so that the program is not opened up to any and everyone that is applying that may not have the need to have a reduced collateral requirement.

And then my next comment is a suggestion for when we do come back, if we could identify some companies that did come to us for assistance that we weren't able to help as a result of that one-to-one collateral requirement, you know, if we could list out those companies, the industry and what part of the state they're in. And hopefully we have some record of that. I know we haven't been able to track that, but I think it would be good to demonstrate to this Board, you know, our inability to help some really good small businesses throughout.

MR. BAHAM:
We have a cabinet full.
MS. MITCHELL:
Yeah. So those are just two follow ups, I guess.

MR. ROY:
Ms. Tham, do you have anything you would like to add?

MS. THAM:

I know you said that SBA doesn't have a standard --

MR. BAHAM:
No, ma'am. Their language in their rules states that -- there's no minimum collateral requirement. It just says best available collateral or they typically just take everything plus the kitchen sink.

MS. THAM:
Have you ever seen any statistics of what they end up averaging out for collateral on those loans?

MR. BAHAM:
Maybe not collateral directly, but I can scour their press releases and public information and make a few phone calls and see if there's any documentation out there.

MS. THAM:
It would be interesting to see what their default rate was and how much actually ended up being lost, but that's really the only question I have. And if there are any other -- I mean, the second two proposals certainly make sense. I don't know that anybody really has any issues with that, but is there any other criteria out there for loans that are hard
criteria, as Mandi said, that would help us decide if there was a reason to lower the collateral for -- you know, set up a criteria. So what do others do? What do the banks do? What does the SBA do? Are there extenuating circumstances that would --

MS . GUESS :
We'll certainly look into that. In fact, we've talked with some of our other counterparts at SSBCI in various other states, and I think we have at least two states to where we have -- neighboring states, rather, that are in a similar proximity with the same dollars and same constituents, geographic businesses that we can compare those to. So we'll come back after we've done a little bit more and present those to you. MS. THAM:

And I think that will be particularly interesting, neighboring states, because part of what we're trying to do is get these businesses to be doing the expansions in Louisiana. If they're offering something a lot better in Texas or, you know, Florida, and it really doesn't put us a lot more at risk, that might be a competition we're concerned about.

MS . GUESS :
Exactly. Right.
MR. ROY:

Does everyone agree? I certainly want
to hear from the Board members in support of the decision, but does anyone want to try to tackle this right now in totality?

MS. MITCHELL:
No. I think your suggestion is a good one to have staff come back with more information so that we can make a really well-informed decision, but $I$ did want to ask Mr. Cangelosi for clarity. Once we file the NOI, don't we have a set window to follow up? So that's what I wanted to know, the next steps from here. Are we going to wait until the next board meeting before you do anything?

MR. CANGELOSI:
Yes. We're not going to publish anything for the time being.

MS . MITCHELL:
Okay. Perfect.
MR. CANGELOSI:
We're not going to officially change anything. I was just going to prepare the Notice of Intent, which is the first phase of changing the rule, but I'm not going to publish that Notice of Intent until after the Board has an opportunity to review it and we discuss it and all of that. And I might end up changing
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those after that meeting.
MS. MITCHELL:
Could we stencil that for our next meeting?

MR. CANGELOSI:
I would suggest that.
MR. ROY:
I would think so.
Mr. Andre.
MR. ANDRE:
I'd like to make a motion to defer till the next meeting and ask that staff get this information we're requesting a week in advance so we can digest it before the meeting.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Very good. Let's talk about the logistics of how that might happen. The most sufficient thing I think would be to have this discussion on the day of the regular-scheduled meeting so that we don't have to all commence for just that purpose if we don't have to, assuming it's not too much on the agenda. But perhaps we could take it up first thing in the meeting, unless there's something more pressing, and work through that and hopefully make some decisions and just move straight into the meeting.

Does that sound like something good for everyone? Is that okay for staff?

MS. MITCHELL:
Yes, sir. And what's a good timeframe for us to get the document to you? Is two weeks sufficient or a week in advance? What's sufficient for y'all to make...

MS. VILLA:
I believe our next board meeting is scheduled for February the 24 th, if I'm not mistaken. MS. MITCHELL:

That's fast.
MR. BAHAM:
Just as an FYI, the next meeting we are planing to have a finance meeting prior to the Board meeting on the 24 th . It's that time of the year again. We pushed it back to February. They wanted to come this month, but not everything was properly prepared, plus we, at the first meeting of every year, we do have to have the -- according to the bylaws, we have to have the election of officers. So we decided to push it until after we have the new committee appointments and the election of officers.

MR. ROY:
Well, perhaps we should...

MS. VILLA:
Maybe the March meeting because I'm just thinking with everything that we have on the agenda for February now and also with the information we're trying to gather and provide to the Board, I don't want us to be crunched with trying to for you guys to digest everything that we put together and the team trying to put everything together. We've been living with this for a very long time now. I don't think an additional month is going to hurt anything.

MS . GUESS:
I think it's very important for us to get it right because we've gone almost 30 years, exactly, since 1987 when $I$ started that we've been living with these collateral requirements, so a couple more months isn't going to hurt. So I think that and I appreciate the fact that, you know, we want to make sure that it's done correctly because this might be the last change for a while that may take place in this program, so certainly.

In fact, our partners in the banking community, when we've been listening to what they've been asking and we were telling them that we are going to make some approaches to doing something in the future, the excitement is already there. So I think the
heightened working with us in our community and they're feeling more comfortable, we just want it to be something that will happen a little bit later. So we certainly want to make sure it's done right. So March would be perfect timing. If it appears that the agenda will be, you know, heavy, we'll just start the meeting 30 minutes earlier, you know, 9 o'clock, because it doesn't take us that long.

MR. ROY:
Just a thought, not that we should delve into it in a wholesale way, but if you have some information for the next meeting that you can hand out, we can chew on that before the March meeting, all of that would be good, too. I think whatever you might have, all of these things will be best thought out if we do just that. It takes time sometimes.

MS . GUESS :
Right.
MR. ANDRE:
Mr. Chairman, I modify my motion. I move to defer this activity until the March meeting and request that staff provide requested information either at the next meeting or at least a minimum of two weeks before the March meeting.

THE WITNESS:

Very good. Motion --
MR. SIMPSON :
Second.
MR. ROY:
Second. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Hearing none, all in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. ROY:
All opposed?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection. Thank you. We look
forward to it.
MS . GUESS :
Thank you.
MR. ROY:
All right. We will take up, once again, that matter of General Informatics, LLC, Iberia Bank, and the gentleman, perhaps y'all can introduce the gentleman for us.

MS. PETE:
Good morning. We have Mr. Mohit Vij of General Informatics. He's the owner of the company.
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Again, the loan was for $1.5-m i l l i o n$ for an equipment purchase, and he'll give more information.

## MR. VIJ:

Good morning. Let me first apologize for running a little late this morning. I'm sorry about that. I hope I'm just...

So we are building a technology campus on Bluebonnet and Highland. If you happen to drive down there, it's a pretty substantial endeavor. Especially, I mean, I've lived in Baton Rouge for 24 years now and being a technology company, we've always had issues with retaining talent. And this was an effort that started three years ago that for our youth living in the city basically, what we have to do where they feel that we are, I will say, cool enough for them. And so about three years ago, we had a cross point whether we want to continue fighting fair, or, at the same time, City of Raleigh approached us, and at that point, we had to make a decision. And we tried to build something and decided we're going to just put everything in it and tried to build something in which we want to have the likes and enthusiasm and approach that after we took our people literally to see everything and showed them what we have there because we wanted to really, in fact, exceed what they had done.

So this is a part of that whole
exercise. We have a very substantial capital on this, almost 15-million-plus, to build this first part, first phase of the project. There were some gaps, and really the reason I'm here today is to make sure that we spend that kind of money and build something that doesn't still look incomplete. So we're here for that reason.

MR. ROY:
Any questions or comments?
MR. ANDRE:
I have a question.
Sir, the 66 new jobs that you are going to create is pretty high-skilled; right?

MR. VIJ:
Yes, sir.
MR. ANDRE:
And what is the availability of that skill in our state?

MR. VIJ:
So that's interesting. First of all, we are -- I'm a good example. I graduated from LSU and got picked up by Anderson Consulting early years, and then I was sent back to a client in Louisiana. And so that's what's been happening most of the time, and as I look at it, and I won't go deep into it, but I was being billed
at $\$ 300$ an hour and being paid a fraction of that by the company. The clients were Louisiana companies, so now I feel that if we can create -- and that's why I came back. If we can create the right environment to keep those kids here and just let them not take jobs in Dallas and Houston, which happens all of the time. So, you know, the talent is there.

We're also doing additional things as part of the -- we're already engaging kids right after high school because a lot of those skills that are required, we're always encouraged to require college degrees, which is good, but we can also train them. So part of that is, I mean, it's not government funded or anything. We're making an effort. We just felt somebody has to start doing those things. And we already know about eight or nine interns from different schools and universities, which we are training.

And I don't know if that answers your question, but...

## MR. ANDRE:

I'm just concerned that since they're so high-skilled, the majority of Louisiana jobs -Louisiana people getting jobs, how high-skilled is it? I mean, do you see they may have to go out of the state to get people?

MR. VIJ:
Not really. I mean, because a lot of times I'm a candidate. People look at me and say, "You're from India." I came from India 24 years ago. We have about 60 people right there now, and there's only two people who are out of state.

I don't know if that answers your question.

MR. ANDRE:
Okay.
MS. THAM:
I'd like to comment on that. I have three sons, all IT guys, all Louisiana school graduates, all moved to different state because that's where the jobs were. And that wasn't just them. That's a lot of their friends.

My question is more about growth. I know that you do technical support for companies, you know, and there's a certain amount of that in Baton Rouge and Louisiana. Do you do that for out-of-state companies as well?

MR. VIJ:
We do, but that's not where the focus of our growth is.

MS . THAM:

Well, that was my question. Is it
software development?
MR. VIJ:
Absolutely.
MS. THAM:
Are you, I guess, constantly looking for new software development, but can you tell us a little bit about the recognition program you have for law enforcement and where you see that going in marketing that?

MR. VIJ:
Sure. So we have created some interesting technologies, and that's where a lot of times most of the technical companies that have come out or tried to come here are doing, you know, ap-type of development or ap development and that just seems like technology, but that's a very surface-level technology. So we are going to deep into development algorithms and things like that, the kind of work that traditionally only happens on --

We have already created facial recognition, and I can give you a specific example here. A lot of our law enforcement agencies buy a gadget called LPR. It's a $\$ 25,000$ camera that sits on the law enforcement units. The role of that camera is to read
licence plates as the car moves to find out if a stolen vehicle is standing there. We developed the same technology for under $\$ 2,000$. So, I mean, we are very, very optimistic about for the markets. And I will tell you, we opened an office in San Francisco, which is run by two people right now, and that's really the marketing office, but we felt when we tried to market outside Louisiana, that there was always that request to -- that a high-end company can be from Baton Rouge. So we said, "All right. We'll put the marketing in our office in San Francisco and the -- company in Baton Rouge."

So we're trying to do all of those things around to really project, you know, outside of Louisiana.

MS. THAM:
So is this your main focus, this one new software, or do you have a lot of different softwares that you're planning to market, I assume all over the country?

MR. VIJ:
Sure. That's right. I mean, we were -this is the first line. It's a technology that has applications in different fields. It just so happened that some of our law enforcement clients looked at what we were doing, and they said, "Well, can you apply it to
law enforcement?"
We are also going after, I mean, the
medical word in terms of business is exploding, so there's a lot. That's our second focus after this. The same technology, but a different role to be applied across the board.

MS. THAM:
So looking at the program you're developing, the software, do you still think you're going to, in the foreseeable future, keep most of your jobs here and be able to operate, you know, with what you want to market all over the country, to keep most of your technical jobs here?

MR. VIJ:
One-word answer is absolutely. And there's a bigger answer to that, and I always say that, you know, if any of you are adopted, you feel more responsibility toward the people or the state that adopted you. And that's how I feel, that, you know, I really have been very fortunate and blessed to be here, and it's my turn to give back.

MS. THAM:
Right.
MR. ANDRE:
I have a question. Of the 30 employees
you currently have, how many are Louisiana employees?
MR. VIJ:
Twenty-eight or 29.
MS . MITCHELL:
Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to follow up --

MR. VIJ:
There's one more thing, I consider myself a Louisianian, too.

MS. MITCHELL:
Very good. Honorary.
I'll just follow up with -- I wanted to follow up on Mr. Alden's comments and just stress that at LED, a couple of things, first we have made a concerted effort to attract new technology-sector companies to the state. It's one of the areas that we're looking at in an effort to diversify away from such a heavy dependence on oil and gas, and so this is one of our projects that we like to highlight as a significant win for us in that regard.

And the other thing that we do, specifically on these technology-related projects, such as software development, is we try our best to develop a relationship and partnership with area universities wherever the project will be. So, for example, with CGI
in Lafayette, they have a direct link and partnership with UL. They actually can't recruit those computer science students fast enough to fill their workforce from students that are here in Louisiana and that will stay in Louisiana. That's something we've been trying to combat for a while, even under the previous administration, that out migration.

Ms. Tham just talked about her sons --
is it your sons?
MS. THAM:
Three sons.
MS. MITCHELL:
-- unfortunately didn't have
opportunities here in the technology-related sector and had to leave. So CGI. CSRA is another one up in North Louisiana that partnered with Louisiana Tech. IBM is another example. They partnered with LSU and Southern. And where we can't help them find and recruit graduates of Louisiana universities or Louisiana residents, if they do bring someone here to Louisiana, we see that as a good thing as well. We like to bring new folks to the states so that they become part of our state and help grow and, you know, become a part of our culture, but help them grow and establish in Louisiana.

So that's another thing that we pay
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close attention to at LED is what we call net end migration, and we also made a concerted effort through a program called Come Home Louisiana of trying to target and attract Louisiana citizens that had to leave home and tried to, through a marketing efforts, try to convince them that there's opportunity here that they can come back to. I just wanted to follow up on that. MR. ROY:

Very good.
MR. ANDRE :
Mr. Chairman, I move for approval.
MR. ROY:
Motion for approval as presented.
MS. MITCHELL:
Second.
MR. ROY:
Second.
Any other discussion?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Hearing none, all in favor "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)

MR. ROY:
Without objection. Congratulations.
Please keep us posted. I know we're very interested in following your progress and we wish you the best.

MR. VIJ:
Thank you so much. We certainly
appreciate it.
MR. ROY:
Thank you, sir. Thank you.
Next order of business is the election of officers, and chairman and vice chairman are up. I will step out the room, and I will say that please, if anybody wants to be chairman, run, and y'all discuss and what have you. I'm all for it.

MR. ANDRE:
I guess I'm conducting the rest of meeting for a while.

I will entertain nominations for the office of chairman of the board.

MR. SIMPSON:
I nominate A.J. Roy.
MR. ANDRE:
Are there any other nominations?
MS. THAM:
I think, you know, A.J. just does a
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wonderful job. He has that experience. He has the banking knowledge and has done a great job.

MR. ANDRE :
So is there a second?
MS. MITCHELL:
Second.
MR. ANDRE :
All in favor?
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. ANDRE:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. ANDRE :
Please see that Mr. A.J. Roy can return to the meeting.

Mr. Chairman, after a tough debate, you were unanimously reelected.

MR. ROY:
Thank you for that. I will let you know that the most important thing is there is ice cream in the freezer back there, and, Mr. Simpson, you'll be happy to know it's Blue Bell. I did notice that. Thank you-all for your vote of confidence.

And now the vice chairman, and, Mr .
Andre is our vice chairman.

MR. BAHAM:
He's currently our vice chair, yes.
MR. ROY:
Do we handle that election? Do we need
to do that now?
MR. ANDRE:
Do I leave the room?
MR. ROY:
You can do whatever you'd like.
MR. SIMPSON :
Move to keep --
MR. ANDRE:
Anybody wants it can have it.
MR. SIMPSON:
I move to nominate Alden Andre to remain
vice chairman.
MR. ROY:
Motion.
MS. THAM:
Anybody else interested?
MR. ROY:
Anyone else? Any other nominations?
MS. THAM:
I second the motion.
MR. ROY:

Okay. All right. Motion and a second.
And no other nominations, so by acclimation, congratulations, Mr. Andre. Appreciate your service.

MR. ANDRE:
Thank you. Thank you.
MR. ROY:
All right. We have various committees, as everyone knows, and if staff would like to remind us who is on which committee --

MR. BAHAM:
I think I passed everyone out a copy of all of the committees and a brief description of the duties of those committee as well as who is chairman of each respective committee. All of the seats are filled, but we have had a new number appointed since this committee list was approved last year, and that is Mr. William Campbell and he is currently not appointed to any committee. And I believe he is the only one that is not.

MR. ROY:
Do we know what committees he would like to serve on?

MR. BAHAM:
Given his background, I'm sure he would be interested in the financial committee.

MR. ROY:
All right.
MR. BAHAM:
And possibly the screening committee.
MR. ROY:
And screening, yes. That's what I was thinking.

MS . THAM:
And I'd like you to know that, although I've really enjoyed my tenure on the Board, $I$ am retiring from my firm and the CPA profession in the end Of April, and so $I$ will be moving out of town. So I also want to let you know I'll need to resign from the Board at that time, too.

MR. ROY:
Sad to see you go.
MS . THAM:
And I'll speak to Ron at the Society of LCPAs, Society of CPAs, to see if he has another recommendation for you.

MS . GUESS :
We may be able to replace your seat quicker than we have been able to do the others. Would you still -- and we've enjoyed having you. We're going to continue while you're still here, but we have
currently three vacancies that we have been working feverishly with the Governor's office of the Boards and Commissions to attempt to get them to give us the nominations from those organizations.

And one of things that Mandi and I visited just in the passing, the last couple weeks, right now, we might need to look at having legislation changes as to how Board members are actually selected for LEDC. It appears to be the security dealers, the Louisiana Woman's Business League, which apparently is a problem for Boards and Commissions. So we might need to address that in some form or fashion, having them to look at how the make-up of the board is determined as far as the legislation, which would give us maybe an easier time in filling some slots.

MS. THAM:
And I think the state board -- I'm sorry -- the Society of CPAs is probably -- he's pretty action-oriented, and the CPAs who participate in the society tend to believe in the community service involvement, so $I$ don't think you'll have to wait long.

MS . GUESS :
I don't think so either.
MR. ROY:
Well, we will miss you and we appreciate
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your service.
MS. THAM:
And I'll be glad to stay around and do whatever you want through April.

MR. ROY:
Thank you. We hope you're going to smell sweet flowers somewhere where we all envy.

MS. THAM:
That's the plan.
MR. ROY:
All right. Okay. So aside from
Mr. Campbell, I think everyone else has a place on committees; is that correct?

MR. BAHAM:
Yes. Everyone already has a place in a committee. Ms. Susan, you are the chair of the finance committee at this time as well, just to note that.

MS. THAM:
I would recommend -- you know, I would like to stay on the finance committee, and, of course, whoever the society nominates, if you accept them, would probably be a great person to go on the committee walking in. You know, that's sort of what we do.

MR. BAHAM:
Absolutely.

MR. ROY:
Okay. Well, we certainly want to keep you in your current position, and who knows, maybe we'll keep you longer than April.

MS. THAM:
You never know. I'm having a hard time tearing my husband away. He's been in Baton Rouge for 68 years now. He's not really -- he keeps talking about, "We'll come back and do our regular jobs and everything."

MR. ROY:
Very good. Okay. So why don't we just pencil in Mr. Campbell and we can run it by him when he comes to the next meeting. I assume he'll be okay with it.

Is everyone else content with where they are?

All right. Very good. So that handles the committee appointments. I was just going to say, let's -- I like to let people be in a position they like to be in, so if you don't mind, staff can run that by him, maybe we can just formalize it at the next meeting. MS . GUESS:

Right. That's what $I$ was going to
suggest. We can just formalize it at the next meeting.
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MR. ROY:
Very good. Any comments from the public on any of this thus far?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Treasurer's Report.
MS. VILLA:
Good morning. Anne Villa here, Undersecretary for LED, and I have the Secretary Treasurer's Report and my glasses for this meeting, so hopefully I can read these as I had difficulties last time without my glasses.

So the budget for FY 17, we have our Financial Assistance Program at 190,000. We have our State Small Business Credit Initiative budgeted at \$2,390,861. And we have our Capital Outlay EDAP Appropriation of $12,425,526$, our EDRED budget at 41,113.

Currently we have projected expenditures for the programs at $4,550,875$, which leaves us with a protected balance prior to our projects under review of 10,496, 625 .

We currently have a total of $1,205,000$ projects under review, which gives us a yearend projected balance of $9,291,625$.

If you look at the next page, the
details of our projects, as Brenda mentioned earlier, you can see the activity that we've had with the staff's hard work with marketing the program for the State Small Business Credit Initiatives, projects that we have since September the 7th, projects released listed in detail there that comprise of the of the 680,875 .

And then we currently, there are no projects that are under review for the program, but we'll continue to market those, and hopefully we'll have more projects that come through shortly.

Our next page lists our projects that we currently have for both our EDAP and EDRED, and we've already approved projects there. We've got three that are currently under review that are detailed there in front of you, and we project to have an ending balance of 7,350,526.

The last page we have there is our Fund Balances and it shows our actuals for FY 16 with our projections for FY 17. The total Fund Balance available is $16,264,634$, and we have expected expenditures of 16,200,000, which would leave us with a balance of 64, 634 .

Do you have any questions? I'll try to answer them.

MS. THAM:

Where does General Informatics belong on this schedule as far as program it comes out of?

MS. VILLA:
That was -- I think that was a State Small Business Credit Initiative.

MS. THAM:
I don't see anything pending.
MS. VILIA:
It should be in there. Oh, it would come on that second page.

MS. THAM:
Will that reduce us from 1.7-million to 200,000?

MR. BAHAM:
No. The way we leverage these -MS. VILLA:

Twenty-five percent.
MR. BAHAM:
-- it will be the guaranty amount, 25 percent of the guaranty amount. That's how we're managing. We're doing it on a four-and-one basis basically, so for every loan that gets approved, we feel that we can leverage it and add at least three more. And I'm going to go out on a limb and say we just missed adding that one to the pending list for this month. It
came in rather quickly. It came in, I believe, at the end of December and we kind of threw everything together fairly quick as it came in right after the holidays. So I'll go ahead and take the bullet for that one.

MS. THAM:
But that's going to reduce it; it's not already in the number of approved projects?

MR. BAHAM:
It will reduce it by 25 percent of the guaranty amount, which $I$ don't have that one in front of me right now.

MS. PETE:
On the guaranty, the loan was for
1.5-million. The guaranty amount, actual amount, will be 281,000 .

MR. ROY:
Any other questions or comments on the Treasurer's Report?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Pursuant to our earlier conversation, if possible, I think it would be nice if we have a proposed, I won't call it budget, but what we foresee we might be able to do given leverage, given the actual numbers and money coming back to us on the Guaranty

Program for the next meeting so we can chew on that for the following meeting. Again, that, to me, that's one of the linchpins, and the whole decisionmaking process revolves around how much we have to spend.

You know, it's contrary to what my poor grandmother, rest her soul, used to think. She thought if she had checks, she had money in the bank, but as a banker, I kind of sense that's not really the case. Anyway, so I think, you know, knowing that would allow us to decide exactly how we should implement the program.

MR. BAHAM:
Luckily for us, that information should definitely be available for the next meeting because our -- not only was Christian one of our lead loan officers, but he was also our compliance officer, and he had already started preparing those things over the past years, so he left us a lot of good information before his departure. So we should be able to pick that up and forecast it out at least a couple years down the line.

MR. ROY:
Very good.
I'll entertain a motion to accept the Treasurer's Report.

MR. SIMPSON:

|  | So moved. |
| ---: | :--- |
| MS. THAM: |  |
|  | Second. |
| MR. | ROY: |
|  | Second. |
| Any discussion? |  |
| (No response.) |  |
| MR. ROY: |  |

All in favor, "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. ROY:
All opposed, "nay."
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Without objection, Treasurer's Report is accepted.

MS. THAM:
You know, one thing $I$ wonder, by lowering standards a little bit, you know, I know there's only so much to spend, but given the fact that there's only so much to spend, if we open that up a little bit, there might be other factors that are really important in the things they've turned down in the past. MR. ROY:

You're exactly right, and, of course,
the biggest one I think about is just this leverage, the idea of leverage, which obviously if you go from 50 to, I mean, 150, you create leverage, so you'll have to -- I don't know how you do it. Y'all are the experts on that. We will have to make some projections based on maybe with a 70 percent marker and, of course, 50 percent, but, you know, if we have different buckets as they related to hard collateral, the real estate versus FF\&E, that's a whole different -- so I don't know how you're going to do this, but y'all engage -- is that what you're thinking?

MS. THAM:
Well, what I'm thinking is they said they had cabinets full of things that they couldn't even try to work because they didn't have enough collateral. Maybe there were projects that weren't that big of a risk, they didn't have the one-to-one collateral, but they might have been projects that would have brought more jobs or brought secondary businesses in. Some of those factors might be things that, you know, they're not hard numbers, but the goal is to maximize the increase in economic development in the state. Maybe some of those things that got turned down -- I know there's only a certain number of dollars, but there's probably some other factors besides how safe it is or
about how much good it does.
MR. ROY:
And I don't mean to overemphasize that.
You're right. There's a myriad of factors, but certainly you'll agree that the finances are...

MS. THAM:
Certainly.
MR. ROY:
Okay. So with that -- I'm sorry.
MS. MITCHELL:
Okay. Good morning, everyone. Just want to provide you with an update on some project wins and some other news since our last meeting. We've had some really neat project announcements, so I'll share with you a couple of those.

In December, late December, a company, research and development company, in the pharmaceutical industry called Crown Bioscience made an announcement in New Iberia. They selected the New Iberia Research Center as their -- for growth of their pharmaceutical research and development operation. They will be conducting R\&D to advance treatments for cardiovascular and metabolic disease research right here in Louisiana. They're going to make a million dollar capital investment on infrastructure and they're going create 10
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new direct jobs with an average salary of $\mathbf{\$ 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ plus benefits. So this was an exciting announcement. As you know, New Iberia is a small community in Louisiana, and so 10 jobs at that salary level is going to make a significant impact to their local economy as well as the positive attention that the research facility will attract. We were able to get this company to relocate to -- not relocate, but to expand their operation that was in North Carolina. They could have expanded there, but they chose Louisiana, and we're going to keep working on them to hopefully bring all of their research there to New Iberia.

Also in December we had some really neat news for one of our closest partners, the Port of New Orleans, a French firm called CMA CGM announced that their direct service to Asia for expedited container service is going to be housed right there at the Port of New Orleans. They're inaugural vessel is scheduled to arrive on January 31st for service by Terminal Operator Ports America. So this is a significant win for one of our major partners, the Port of New Orleans.

Also in December, Venture Global
announced an \$8.5-million LNG complex in Plaquemines Parish. That project is -- the company there is going to be called Plaquemine LNG, and they're going to create

250 new direct jobs at an average salary of $\$ 70,000$ per year plus benefits.

And a couple other really cool announcements about programs at LED. So we basically recently revived two programs, and I alluded to them earlier, the Bonding Assistance Program and the Louisiana Contractor Accreditation Institute. And so the Bonding Assistance Program provides 25 percent of the contract price or $\$ 100,000$, whichever is less, to help companies get access to quality bids, payment and performance bonds. And this specific program helps small businesses in Louisiana, so any business that is SEBD certified can participate in this program, and the Small and Emerging Business Development program is one in which LED will work with a company to get them certified under that program.

And the next program that we revived -revitalized is the Louisiana Contractor Accreditation Institute, and in March, we are actually getting ready for our second or third six-week course that's going to be offered throughout the state. And it's an innovative program for small construction companies to assist them with ensuring that they have the capacity to provide contracting services. A lot of these small companies have the skills, they know their craft, but the business
side of that needs to be beefed up. And so we partnered with Louisiana Community Technical College System and the Louisiana State Licensing Board for Contractors, and so we are going to be offering those courses from March 6th through April 12th, mostly in the evening times, and, again, it's going to be across Louisiana.

Last Fall we had 243 participants that completed the course, and it was offered at that time throughout 14 locations throughout the state. And this is especially critically important as we continue the rebuilding from the August floods and we want to make sure that Louisiana businesses are able to step up to the plate and participate in the rebuilding efforts.

And then one other project or one other neat thing that's going on, $I$ want to share with you that LED played a part in is RoyOMartin is now recruiting production team members for their plywood manufacturing plant in Chopin, Louisiana, but they're doing so through a paid training program. It's called Certified for Success. LED FastStart created a program called Certified for Manufacturing, C4M, in which we help individuals that are interested in learning the trade, any sort of trade associated with manufacturing, to get trained and to get those skills necessary. Well, RoyOMartin basically did a spinoff of that program
called Certified for Success, so select individuals are going to receive the stills and training for manufacturing careers at RoyOMartin and will be paid during the timeframe that they're being trained.

So we have three job fairs coming up in that regard, Tuesday, January 24th; Tuesday, January 31st; and then again on Tuesday, February 7th. And so, again, LED helped to spin this program off and we're hoping to market the program, but the Louisiana Workforce Commission plays a very important role in selecting, recruiting and doing the prescreening of the individuals that we hope to eventually have permanent employment at RoyOMartin. And then the Louisiana Community and Technical College System also partnered with us, specifically Central Louisiana Community and Technical College.

So those are some neat things that we have going on at LED. One thing Secretary Pierson has stressed to me and to Anne and to our new Deputy Secretary, Brad Lambert, who we hope to introduce to you-all soon, is that anytime we talk about the department, to make sure that we talk more about the other wonderful programs that we have going on at LED. We are known, as result of the press, for just providing incentives, and the misnomer is mostly to large
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businesses, but we do a significant amount of work with small businesses in the state as well as existing Louisiana companies.

So that's my update for this month.
MR. ROY:
Thank you.
Any questions or comments?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
All right. Any other business before the Board?
(No response.)
MR. ROY:
Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to
adjourn.
MR. ANDRE:
Motion.
MR. SIMPSON :
Second.
MR. ROY:
We're adjourned. Thank you for coming.
(Meeting concludes at 10:58 a.m.)
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